
S&P Global Ratings

One California Street, 31st Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111-5432
tel 415 371-5000
reference no.: 1462551

November 15, 2016

Mid-Peninsula Water District
3 Dairy Lane
Belmont, CA 94002
Attention: Ms. Tammy Rudock, General Manager

Re: *US\$19,405,000 Mid-Peninsula Water District, California, Certificates Of Participation (Financing Project), Series 2016, dated: Date of delivery, due: December 01, 2046*

Dear Ms. Rudock:

Pursuant to your request for an S&P Global Ratings rating on the above-referenced obligations, S&P Global Ratings has assigned a rating of "AA". S&P Global Ratings views the outlook for this rating as stable. A copy of the rationale supporting the rating is enclosed.

This letter constitutes S&P Global Ratings' permission for you to disseminate the above-assigned ratings to interested parties in accordance with applicable laws and regulations. However, permission for such dissemination (other than to professional advisors bound by appropriate confidentiality arrangements) will become effective only after we have released the rating on standardandpoors.com. Any dissemination on any Website by you or your agents shall include the full analysis for the rating, including any updates, where applicable.

To maintain the rating, S&P Global Ratings must receive all relevant financial and other information, including notice of material changes to financial and other information provided to us and in relevant documents, as soon as such information is available. Relevant financial and other information includes, but is not limited to, information about direct bank loans and debt and debt-like instruments issued to, or entered into with, financial institutions, insurance companies and/or other entities, whether or not disclosure of such information would be required under S.E.C. Rule 15c2-12. You understand that S&P Global Ratings relies on you and your agents and advisors for the accuracy, timeliness and completeness of the information submitted in connection with the rating and the continued flow of material information as part of the surveillance process. Please send all information via electronic delivery to: pubfin_statelocalgovt@spglobal.com. If SEC rule 17g-5 is applicable, you may post such information on the appropriate website. For any information not available in electronic format or posted on the applicable website,

Please send hard copies to:

S&P Global Ratings
Public Finance Department
55 Water Street

New York, NY 10041-0003

The rating is subject to the Terms and Conditions, if any, attached to the Engagement Letter applicable to the rating. In the absence of such Engagement Letter and Terms and Conditions, the rating is subject to the attached Terms and Conditions. The applicable Terms and Conditions are incorporated herein by reference.

S&P Global Ratings is pleased to have the opportunity to provide its rating opinion. For more information please visit our website at www.standardandpoors.com. If you have any questions, please contact us. Thank you for choosing S&P Global Ratings.

Sincerely yours,

S&P Global Ratings

a division of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC

pp
enclosures

cc: Mr. Brian D. Quint, Esq.
Mr. Bud Levine
Ms Rene Ramirez



S&P Global Ratings

S&P Global Ratings Terms and Conditions Applicable To Public Finance Credit Ratings

General. The credit ratings and other views of S&P Global Ratings are statements of opinion and not statements of fact. Credit ratings and other views of S&P Global Ratings are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities and do not comment on market price, marketability, investor preference or suitability of any security. While S&P Global Ratings bases its credit ratings and other views on information provided by issuers and their agents and advisors, and other information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P Global Ratings does not perform an audit, and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification, of any information it receives. Such information and S&P Global Ratings' opinions should not be relied upon in making any investment decision. S&P Global Ratings does not act as a "fiduciary" or an investment advisor. S&P Global Ratings neither recommends nor will recommend how an issuer can or should achieve a particular credit rating outcome nor provides or will provide consulting, advisory, financial or structuring advice. Unless otherwise indicated, the term "issuer" means both the issuer and the obligor if the obligor is not the issuer.

All Credit Rating Actions in S&P Global Ratings' Sole Discretion. S&P Global Ratings may assign, raise, lower, suspend, place on CreditWatch, or withdraw a credit rating, and assign or revise an Outlook, at any time, in S&P Global Ratings' sole discretion. S&P Global Ratings may take any of the foregoing actions notwithstanding any request for a confidential or private credit rating or a withdrawal of a credit rating, or termination of a credit rating engagement. S&P Global Ratings will not convert a public credit rating to a confidential or private credit rating, or a private credit rating to a confidential credit rating.

Publication. S&P Global Ratings reserves the right to use, publish, disseminate, or license others to use, publish or disseminate a credit rating and any related analytical reports, including the rationale for the credit rating, unless the issuer specifically requests in connection with the initial credit rating that the credit rating be assigned and maintained on a confidential or private basis. If, however, a confidential or private credit rating or the existence of a confidential or private credit rating subsequently becomes public through disclosure other than by an act of S&P Global Ratings or its affiliates, S&P Global Ratings reserves the right to treat the credit rating as a public credit rating, including, without limitation, publishing the credit rating and any related analytical reports. Any analytical reports published by S&P Global Ratings are not issued by or on behalf of the issuer or at the issuer's request. S&P Global Ratings reserves the right to use, publish, disseminate or license others to use, publish or disseminate analytical reports with respect to public credit ratings that have been withdrawn, regardless of the reason for such withdrawal. S&P Global Ratings may publish explanations of S&P Global Ratings' credit ratings criteria from time to time and S&P Global Ratings may modify or refine its credit ratings criteria at any time as S&P Global Ratings deems appropriate.

Reliance on Information. S&P Global Ratings relies on issuers and their agents and advisors for the accuracy and completeness of the information submitted in connection with credit ratings and the surveillance of credit ratings including, without limitation, information on material changes to information previously provided by issuers, their agents or advisors. Credit ratings, and the maintenance of credit ratings, may be affected by S&P Global Ratings' opinion of the information received from issuers, their agents or advisors.

Confidential Information. S&P Global Ratings has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received from issuers, their agents or advisors. For these purposes, “Confidential Information” shall mean verbal or written information that the issuer or its agents or advisors have provided to S&P Global Ratings and, in a specific and particularized manner, have marked or otherwise indicated in writing (either prior to or promptly following such disclosure) that such information is “Confidential.”

S&P Global Ratings Not an Expert, Underwriter or Seller under Securities Laws. S&P Global Ratings has not consented to and will not consent to being named an “expert” or any similar designation under any applicable securities laws or other regulatory guidance, rules or recommendations, including without limitation, Section 7 of the U.S. Securities Act of 1933. S&P Global Ratings has not performed and will not perform the role or tasks associated with an “underwriter” or “seller” under the United States federal securities laws or other regulatory guidance, rules or recommendations in connection with a credit rating engagement.

Disclaimer of Liability. S&P Global Ratings does not and cannot guarantee the accuracy, completeness, or timeliness of the information relied on in connection with a credit rating or the results obtained from the use of such information. S&P GLOBAL RATINGS GIVES NO EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE. S&P Global Ratings, its affiliates or third party providers, or any of their officers, directors, shareholders, employees or agents shall not be liable to any person for any inaccuracies, errors, or omissions, in each case regardless of cause, actions, damages (consequential, special, indirect, incidental, punitive, compensatory, exemplary or otherwise), claims, liabilities, costs, expenses, legal fees or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs) in any way arising out of or relating to a credit rating or the related analytic services even if advised of the possibility of such damages or other amounts.

No Third Party Beneficiaries. Nothing in any credit rating engagement, or a credit rating when issued, is intended or should be construed as creating any rights on behalf of any third parties, including, without limitation, any recipient of a credit rating. No person is intended as a third party beneficiary of any credit rating engagement or of a credit rating when issued.

RatingsDirect®

Summary:

Mid-Peninsula Water District, California; Water/Sewer

Primary Credit Analyst:

Tim Tung, San Francisco (415) 371-5041; tim.tung@spglobal.com

Secondary Contact:

Chloe S Weil, San Francisco (1) 415-371-5026; chloe.weil@spglobal.com

Table Of Contents

Rationale

Outlook

Summary:

Mid-Peninsula Water District, California; Water/Sewer

Credit Profile

US\$19.405 mil certs of part (2016 Financing Project) due 12/01/2046

Long Term Rating

AA/Stable

New

Rationale

S&P Global Ratings assigned its 'AA' long-term rating to Mid-Peninsula Water District, Calif.'s certificates of participation, or COPs (2016 Financing Project). The rating reflects, in our opinion, the combination of an extremely strong enterprise risk profile and a strong financial risk profile. The outlook is stable.

The enterprise risk profile reflects our view of the water system's:

- Service area participation in the broad and diverse San Francisco metropolitan area economy;
- Very low industry risk as a monopolistic service provider of an essential public utility;
- Affordable service rates in the context of the service area's very strong income levels that provide management with revenue-raising flexibility; and
- Good operational management practices and policies.

The financial risk profile reflects our view of the water system's:

- Strong historical financial performance that we anticipate will be good once the system begins paying debt service on the series 2016 COPs;
- Historically strong liquidity position that we anticipate will be maintained going forward;
- Moderate leverage level following issuance of the series 2016 COPs, with no additional debt plans in the near-term; and
- Good financial management practices and policies.

An extremely strong enterprise risk profile and a strong financial risk profile map to an indicative rating in our revenue debt criteria matrix of 'aa-', and we have applied a one-notch adjustment based on a favorable comparison of the district's financial risk profile to entities rated 'aa-', and also based on the service area's income metric, which is well above the median for 'aa-' rating level.

The district is issuing the series 2016 COPs to fund the acquisition and construction of capital improvements to the water system.

We view the certificate provisions as credit neutral. The certificates are payable from installment payments secured by the net revenues of the district's water system. The district's obligation to make the installment payments from net revenues is absolute and unconditional, and the district does not have any other debt obligations. Key certificate

provisions include a rate covenant and additional bonds test, both of which are set at 1.30x annual debt service. We understand that the district does not intend to provide a debt service reserve fund for the certificates; however, we do not view this as a material credit weakness in light of the district's historically strong liquidity position that we anticipate will be maintained going forward.

Enterprise risk

The district is strategically situated between major employment centers in San Francisco and Silicon Valley, and we believe that residents in the service area participate in the region's broad and diverse economy. The district is located in San Mateo County about 25 miles south of San Francisco, and encompasses about five square miles. The water system's service area generally overlaps the boundaries of the city of Belmont, and management reports that the service area is largely built out although population may grow modestly in the future due to densification and infill development. The California Department of Finance most recently estimated the city's population to be about 27,834 as of Jan. 1, 2016. We view the service area's income levels as very strong based on the city's median household effective buying income (MHHEBI), which was 179% of the national median for 2015. The city's unemployment rates, most recently 2.8% for August 2016, track well below state and national unemployment rates, which were 5.6% and 5.0%, respectively, for the same period. The water system's customer base is stable, primarily residential, and very diverse. The number of customer accounts remained nearly unchanged during the past five fiscal years, and most recently was 8,047 at the end of fiscal year 2016. We consider the customer base to be primarily residential based on residential customers contributing about 90% of water service revenues. We also consider the customer base to be very diverse based on the leading 10 customers contributing about 7.8% of total operating revenues for fiscal year 2016.

We believe the water system has a strong market position based on a natural monopoly in its service area and affordable service rates that provide management with revenue-raising flexibility. The rate structure includes two components: a fixed monthly service charge that is based on the customer's water meter size, and a water consumption charge that has four usage-based rate tiers. In addition, the rate structure includes a feature that enables management to pass through wholesale water rate increases to the customer base, and water shortage emergency rates that may be implemented upon declaration of a water shortage. For a typical single-family residential customer, 800 cubic feet of water usage per month results in a monthly bill of \$82. When annualized, this amount is equivalent to about 1.2% of the city's MHHEBI, which is a level that we consider affordable. The district has annually adjusted rates during the past six fiscal years, and we understand that annual rate adjustments have been preapproved through fiscal year 2020. Management reports that there have not been any material payment delinquencies by the customer base.

Based on our operational management assessment, we view the district to be a '2' on a six-point scale with '1' being the strongest. This indicates, in our view, that there is good alignment between operational and organizational goals, even if some challenges exist. The district operates a water distribution system with treated water purchased on a wholesale basis from San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC) pursuant to a long-term water supply agreement. Management reports that the distribution system is generally in good condition, which we observe indirectly based on a water loss percentage that has ranged between 5.2% and 7.1% during the past four fiscal years. The district reviews water loss reports on a monthly basis, and conducts leak surveys and corrosion control programs on a biennial basis to ensure the integrity of the distribution system.

The water system is entirely reliant on expensive imported water purchased from SFPUC pursuant to a long-term water supply agreement. SFPUC is a regional water provider for 28 wholesale customers in the San Francisco Bay Area. Under a 25-year contract executed in 2009, the district has a guaranteed water supply of about 4,358 acre-feet annually. In fiscal year 2016, the water system purchased about 2,472 acre-feet of water, which was 26% lower than water demand of about 3,336 acre-feet in fiscal year 2013. Water demand declined sharply during the past two fiscal years due to voluntary and mandatory water conservation measures implemented during this period of drought, and management anticipates that demand will remain near current levels with only modest increases going forward.

SFPUC has increased its wholesale rates substantially during recent years, including 19.6% in fiscal year 2015 and 28.0% in fiscal year 2016, as it undertakes a large and predominantly debt-financed capital improvement program. The wholesale water rate was \$2.93 per hundred cubic feet (HCF), or \$1,276 per acre-foot, in fiscal year 2015, and based on information provided by SFPUC in February 2016, the district anticipates that the wholesale water rate will rise to \$5.33 per HCF, or \$2,322 per acre-foot, by fiscal year 2020. We believe that the district is exposed to changes in wholesale rates that are likely to continue rising, although we note the district's rate structure provides a mechanism for passing through these wholesale rate increases to the customer base. For more information on the SFPUC's water system, see our report published Sept. 30, 2016, on RatingsDirect.

We understand that the district has a water shortage contingency plan that is included with its urban water management plan, but generally depends on SFPUC and The Bay Area Water Supply & Conservation Agency (BAWSCA) for regional operational and contingency planning. Given the size of the district and its staff, succession planning and cross training is limited. The district reviews and adjusts its service rates regularly, and management has routinely engaged external consultants to perform in-depth rate analyses.

Consistent with "Methodology: Industry Risk," published Nov. 19, 2013, we consider industry risk for the water system to be very low, the most favorable assessment possible on a '1' to '6' scale, with '1' being the best.

Financial risk

The district's financial performance has historically been strong, and we anticipate that it will be good once it begins paying debt service on the series 2016 COPs. Based on the district's audited financial statements for fiscal years 2013 through 2015, we calculate that net revenues available for debt service ranged from about \$1.5 million for fiscal year 2015 to about \$2.2 million for fiscal year 2014. Net revenue performance during this period was driven primarily by an 8% increase in water service charges in fiscal year 2014 due to an implemented rate increase, followed by a 4.9% decrease in water service charges in fiscal year 2015 due to a 14.6% reduction in water demand from voluntary water conservation that more than offset an implemented 9% rate increase. Based on the district's substantially final, but not yet approved, financial statements for fiscal year 2016, we calculate net revenues available for debt service of about \$1.8 million. Net revenues increased in fiscal year 2016 based on an 18% rate increase more than offsetting a 10.9% decrease in water demand from mandatory water conservation and a 7.9% increase in water purchase costs.

Although the district has not recently had any direct debt, we have calculated an all-in coverage metric that takes into consideration the district's proportionate share of SFPUC debt and BAWSCA debt that is indirectly paid by the district through wholesale water rates. For this four-year period, we calculate that all-in coverage declined to 1.7x for fiscal year 2016 from 2.8x for fiscal year 2013, a range that we consider strong, driven primarily by a rise in indirect debt

service to about \$2.8 million for fiscal year 2016 from about \$950,000 for fiscal year 2013. Based on management's forecast, we anticipate that all-in coverage going forward will be about 1.2x to 1.3x, which is a range that we consider good. We believe that the assumptions used for the forecast are reasonable, including operating revenues that reflect approved rate increases, but only modest increases in water demand, and operating expenses that reflect purchased water costs based on SFPUC's wholesale water rate forecast. In the near term there could be favorable variances driven by one-time development fees related to a small number of known, large development projects, but we do not anticipate that development fees will be a significant ongoing revenue stream for the district given that the service area is largely built out.

The district has historically maintained a strong liquidity position, and we anticipate that it will maintain a strong liquidity position going forward. Based on the district's audited financial statements, the district held unrestricted cash and investments of no less than \$3.6 million, equivalent to 156 days of operating expenses, at the end of each of the past three fiscal years. More recently, the district reports in its substantially final, but not yet approved, financial statements for fiscal year 2016 an unrestricted liquidity position of about \$4.3 million, or 178 days. Although the district's rate study indicates that unrestricted liquidity will be maintained at about \$3.2 million through fiscal year 2021, management reports that the forecast does not take into consideration about \$1.2 million of development fees that are anticipated to be received within the next two years. We understand that management's recommendation would be to use surplus revenues to fully fund the district's reserves--maintaining a balance of about \$5 million going forward--and using amounts in excess of reserve targets for capital projects. Based on a static balance of \$5 million, we calculate that liquidity would decline to about 149 days at the end of fiscal year 2021 from 182 days at the end of fiscal year 2017 based on rising operating expenses; however, we anticipate that the district will maintain a strong liquidity position and adjust its reserve policy and targets in 2017.

The district's capital improvement plan is manageable and does not require any additional borrowing during the next five years, but could require additional borrowing thereafter. We understand that the district's 10-year master plan includes about \$52 million of capital projects. During the next five years, management plans to fund capital projects from about \$20 million of series 2016 COPs proceeds, \$2.5 million of surplus revenues, and development fees to the extent received. We understand that the capital projects during this period are primarily for renewal and replacement of existing infrastructure. Given the district's limited surplus revenue generation and the amount of remaining capital projects during the second half of the 10-year period, we anticipate that additional leverage would likely be required to fund the master plan if these capital projects remain within the 10-year time horizon and there is not a significant shift toward pay-as-you-go funding in the future. The district does not have any other existing debt obligations, and we view the district's leverage ratio as moderate based on a debt-to-capitalization ratio of about 51% following the issuance of the series 2016 COPs.

Based on our financial management assessment, we view the district to be a '2' on a six-point scale, with '1' being the strongest. This indicates, in our view, that there is good alignment between financial and organizational goals, although the district's policies and practices are not comprehensive. We understand that the district previously experienced a loss of funds, but it has taken corrective action and implemented recommendations following an internal controls audit in 2012 with a follow-up review in 2013. Revenue and expenses assumptions are reasonable, and interim financial reporting is provided to the district through semiannual presentations to the district board although significant

variances may be discussed on an as-needed basis. We understand that the district performs long-term financial planning that is independent of the work conducted by the district's rate consultant; however, this internal forecast is not published or disseminated. The 10-year system master plan is updated every three to five years while capital budgeting focuses on current-year needs. The district's reserve policy was adopted and last reviewed in 2007, and management anticipates updating the reserve policy in 2017. The district does not have a debt management policy, but we understand that management may recommend a policy to the board in 2017 in conjunction with other strategic planning. Financial and operational information is relatively easily obtained, as the district's budget, financial statements, and rate studies are readily available on the district's website.

Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our view of the district's service area that is affluent and largely built out, combined with relatively simple operations as a distribution-only water system. During the two-year outlook horizon, we anticipate that the district will continue to raise service rates in line with the forecast--particularly to pass through wholesale water rate increases from SFPUC to the customer base--and also update its reserve policy with targets that would maintain, in our view, a strong liquidity position on a ratio basis taking into consideration the anticipated rise in operating expenses.

Upside scenario

We could take a positive rating action if the district materially outperforms its financial forecast and we believe the stronger financial metrics are sustainable going forward, such as if the district had ongoing capital needs and funded a more significant portion on a pay-as-you-go basis, thereby providing wider margins for coverage.

Downside scenario

We could take a negative rating action if the district underperforms its forecast, such as if wholesale water rates increase more rapidly than forecast and the district does not maintain discipline in passing through the cost increases to the customer base, or if cash reserves are drawn down to fund capital improvements or other expenses.

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.globalcreditportal.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on the S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2016 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription) and www.spcapitaliq.com (subscription) and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.